Postmodern Openings

ISSN: 2068-0236 | e-ISSN: 2069-9387 Covered in: Web of Science (WOS); EBSCO; ERIH+; Google Scholar; Index Copernicus; Ideas RePeC; Econpapers; Socionet; CEEOL; Ulrich ProQuest; Cabell, Journalseek; Scipio; Philpapers; SHERPA/RoMEO repositories; KVK; WorldCat; CrossRef; CrossCheck

2021, Volume 12, Issue 4, pages: xx-xx | https://doi.org/10.18662/po/12.4/

Postmodern Tendencies in the Russian Poetry of the "Silver Age"

Ihor CHORNYI¹, Viktoriia PERTSEVA², Viktoriia CHORNA³, Olena HORLOVA⁴, Oleksandra SHTEPENKO⁵, Mykola LIPISIVITSKYI⁶

¹ Kharkiv National University of Internal Affairs, Kharkiv, Ukraine, igorchornyi64@gmail.com, ORCID ID: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6079-1746 ² Kharkiv National University of Internal Affairs, Kharkiv, Ukraine, victoria.p@ukr.net, ORCID ID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5203-761X ³ Kharkiv national agrarian university named after V.V.Dokuchaiev, Kharkiv, Ukraine, viktoriachornava9@gmail.com, ORCID ID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7288-9043 ⁴ Horlivka Institute For Foreign Languages Of The State Higher Educational Institution "Donbas State Pedagogical University", Bakhmut, Ukraine, velena77@ukr.net, ORCID ID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7702-3222 ⁵ Kherson state university, Kherson, Ukraine,

alexshtep69@gmail.com, ORCID ID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-193-5383
Zhytomyr Ivan Franko State University, Zhytomyr, Ukraine, m.lipisivitsky@gmail.com, ORCID ID: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6189-8174

Abstract: For the first time, the article analyses certain aspects of Russian poetry of the "Silver Age" in order to identify the rudiments or features which are characteristic of the postmodern creative paradigm. It is noted that a number of poets almost do not have any postmodernist tendencies. Despite the fact it is proved that postmodernism denies the personality-centric and aesthetically oriented concept of modernism, it nevertheless arose on the basis of modernism and has sharpened evolutionary features formulated in the first half of the 20th century. The article aims to prove a hypothesis that arises in the authors during a preliminary perceptual reading of the poets' works of the "Silver Age": in the early 20th century. Sporadically and consistently in individual authors can be observed irony, play, reconstruction and performance as precursor of postmodernist creative thinking. Specialties of the Russian poetry of the "Silver Age", which directly correlate with postmodernist tendencies of the second half of the 20th century is not a description itself, but the realization of reality, ambivalence, as well as following the linguistic and figurative, conceptual, motive levels of gradual transitions between the paradigms of "symbolism - modernism" and "modernism postmodernism". The international significance of the article is that the material of one of the Eastern European literatures has proved the existence of postmodern (quasi-postmodern) features in the first half of the 20th century for the first time, which can serve as a deeper research in the field of literary typology, continuity; culturology and anthropology.

Keywords: Postmodernist trends, literary continuity, origins of intertextuality, aesthetic categories, mythological figures.

How to cite: Chornyi, I., Pertseva, V., Chorna, V., Horlova, O., Shtepenko, O., & Lipisivitskyi, M. (2021). Postmodern Tendencies in the Russian Poetry of the "Silver Age". *Postmodern Openings, 12*(4), xx-xx. https://doi.org/10.18662/po/12.4/

Introduction

The poets of the "Silver Age" represent "high modernism", in which key aesthetic and philosophical concepts are formulated comparing to the futurists and symbolists of the end of the 19th century - beginning of the 20th century. The latter (existentialism, impressionism, social realism) became a kind of "bridge" leading to the postcolonial period of art development.

Postmodernism, with its total quotation and irony about past values, is characterized by involuntary or special receptions and "repetition of what has been before" Deconstruction as a key feature of postmodernism is nothing more than a new organization of old elements, which actualizes the game and parody use of what has already been created (Nerubasska et al., 2020; Nerubasska & Maksymchuk, 2020). At present, many researches speak of the common romantic and revolutionary origins of both modernism and postmodernism as two key creative paradigms of the 20th century. Their immediate "neighborhood" in time suggests the possibility of identifying determinant and hereditary connections between these inherently antithetical areas.

Griubel (1976), Lyotard (1998), Rorti (2001), Thomassen et al. (2006), use the categories of high modernism or modernism of the third age, recognizing that the research of the relationship and relations of modernism and postmodernism is actual (Griubel 1976; Lyotard, 1998, etc.). These two great epochs of the 20th century are clearly united by the abandonment of the usual human and cosmogonic universe. Both directions tried to combine art with life and came to the conclusion that this is not possible and are absurd. Modernism initiated the idea of deconstruction, and so postmodernism put it into practice, although a number of scholars (Thomassen et al., 2006; Hassan, 1975) using the bipolar model prove the incompatibility and antithetical nature of modernism and postmodernism (Thomassen et al., 2006).

The hypothesis of the article arose after the preliminary (before analysis) reading and perception of some individual authors of the Russian "Silver Age", namely - Nikolai Gumilov, Veleimr Khlebnikov, Sasha Chornyi and others, in the work of which intuitively can be felt the features inherent in the literature of postcolonialism and postmodernism, namely deconstruction, game, loss of subjectivity (Russian poets of the "Silver Age", 1991). It seems that postmodernism is to bring to a critical point the irrational parody-game and skeptical principles, which are already observed in the modernist period, and much earlier - in all traditions of the Baroque principle in literature.

Propaedeutic reading of a number of the "Silver Age" poems confirmed the existence of the intertext beginnings: a selected text or its allusion is introduced into a broader cultural and literary context. In this case, intertextual connections create a vertical context of the work, due to which the latter acquires multidimensional meaning. On the other hand, the postmodernists had the tendency of unfolding the main text of already existing images, myths and concepts that relate to the texts of other authors which allows the artist to define his difference from others, and thus to establish his own creative "Itself" among others and in relation to others. This shows that these intertextuality beginnings as a key feature of postmodernism are also presented in the works of symbolists and poets of the "Silver Age".

Thus, we will try to apply textual types of analysis, in particular conceptual (mental), figurative, linguistic and cultural one (identification and analysis of myths, archetypes, "eternal plots" - all that can be a marker of cultural code which is recognized and re-evaluated). Thus, the aim of the article is to find the main manifestations of quasi-postmodernist tendencies in some individual representatives of the "Silver Age" of Russian poetry.

Modernism and postmodernism from the sight of modern literary and cultural discourse

At the end of the 20th century, the tendencies of egalitarianism (cultural and other equality) and liberalism have become noticeable in Europe, which, according to scholars' opinion forms not only self-confident artists but also ordinary citizens. Ortega y Gasset et al. (2013) generally stated that "our time is characterized by a rare sense of superiority over any other era; moreover, it is not reduced to a common denominator with them, and is indifferent to them, does not believe in exemplary times and considers itself a completely new and higher form of life" (Ortega y Gasset et al., 2012). For the literature of the 20th century it means that both the reader and the author from the beginning of the century and still are constantly observing the expansion of their own "Itself" into the world, increasing demands on life, abandonment of all traditions that previously have organized life valuable and morally. These tendencies contributed to the elitism (modernism) rapid transition from to egalitarianism (postmodernism). However, we assume that between the two World wars such a transition could not be abrupt, but was present in its infancy after the 20s of the 20th century.

Postmodern	December, 2023
Openings	Volume 12, Issue 4

An unambiguous factor that unites modernism and postmodernism is their mutual western (in terms of civilizational genesis) nature. In the heyday of modernism. In the heyday of modernism Max Weber (2009) has observed that only in western culture such phenomena emerges defining the cultural face of the time and has its universal value (Weber, 2009). The universality of cultural and civilizational tendencies described by Max Weber can be easily extrapolated to postmodernism, since both modernism and postmodernism are systemic transcultural phenomena, which totally cover "systematic theology, rational concept, standardized methods of scientific experiments, rational and harmony music, extensive perspective use in painting, bureaucratic behavior of the organizational sphere and systematic rational management of economic affairs - which are unique to the West and illustrate its own self-recognized universality" (Wolin, 1984).

Wiliams & Sewpaul (2004) and the authors study the key features of modernism and postmodernism and find their common features in lack of standards, competition between private and public, knowledge and power, etc. The authors note: "We claim interpreting modernism and postmodernism as a linear progression and as a bipolar categorization is falling into the traps of modernism itself. Thus, we avoided the choice between modernism and postmodernism - between justification, objectivity, reason, universalism, proof and unity of science on the one hand, and postmodernist emphasis on language, power and concrete, contingent and relational on the other" (Williams & Sewpaul, 2004). This thesis is extremely relevant to the culture of post - end of postmodernism, when both classical, modernist and postmodernist methods and approaches can coexist in culture, symbolizing its qualitative completion.

The difference between modernism and postmodernism is in the difficulty of interpreting the last term. Although it is used in many thematic and multidisciplinary discourses, it is still difficult to understand its mono-semantic definition. In this respect, there is continuity between modernism and postmodernism. Modernism was the first multimodal cultural epoch that did not have clearly defined canons and was rather a "collective name", postcolonial society and culture were even more separated in style, modality and individual works. Thus not for nothing, Newman (1989) called his book "Revision of Modernism, Representation of Postmodernism", alluding to the genetic and sometimes typological connection between these phenomena (Newman, 1989).

There are already many attempts made in literary criticism to find features of modernism and postmodernism within the framework of one work or a number of works. Or at least an attempt to place the work between these destinations. As a rule, this applies to meta-fiction and children's literature, which combines postmodern individualism and alternativeness, and on the other hand, postmodernism abandons traditional values by "intertwining fantasy and social consciousness. Like some other European texts, Greek meta-fiction for children and young people shows a subversive trend that is based on specific postmodernist techniques, offering readers different points of view and different realities".

More courageous approach is to find common origins of modernism and postmodernism. The commonality is seen between the maxims of modernism/postmodernism and early German romanticism, which find somewhat different ways of their synthesis through personality-centric, individual (molerism), diffuse and devalued, mass (postmodernism) by contrasting reason and nature. Etaryan (2020) claims that the basic concepts of modernism and postmodernism can be presented as a manifestation of self-reflection of literature, the extreme manifestation of its freedom and romanticism (Etaryan, 2020).

The contrast between modernism and postmodernism seems hopelessly polar. However, it is rather an epistemological stereotype and attitude. In our opinion, the common denominator between modern and postmodern is that both directions do not offer an artistic treatment of reality, but its alternative. This is especially true of postmodernism. The notions of modernism and postmodernism are diffuse, semi-generic, very basic, and probably "are contained within each other": "In this way, trying to present the multi-interpretative smoothness of modernism and postmodernism, the focus of this examination as a dubious continuation will explore the stylish and hypothetical fusion of the two most important trends of the 20th century. It is vital to explore how these classifications can change given the semiparalaxical association with any given arrangement of hypothetical systems" (Rajesh S. & Suresh D., 2018).

According to recent research, in the 21st century modernism/modern, postmodernism/postmodern is again the subject of reflection in modern aesthetics. Moreover, this return is again total: we have modernist and postmodernist methods in the approach to social, ideological, historical, political aspects of life, ontological challenges characteristic of all revolutionary directions become relevant again: "identity of the subject, sense of existence, sense of crisis, art of crisis" (Sorcan, 2013). This testifies to a certain comeback of modernism and postmodernism against the background of post-culture and post-truth.

Common in modern and postmodern philosophy is a fundamental difference from the previous, revolutionary, total innovation and denial not

Postmodern	December, 2021
Openings	Volume 12, Issue 4

only of previous trends and aesthetic and philosophical concepts, but also the rejection of the usual laws of creation and reality, the appeal to the irrational and subconscious, penetration into all forms of consciousness not even related to creativity).

Boletskyi (2001), emphasizing even the etymological connection of the terms "modernism" and "postmodernism" notes that the later one is the "twilight" of modernism, its aesthetic and philosophical continuation. The scholar explains that epoch-making alternative currents that come "after" often become objections to the previous ones (Boletskyi, 2001).

If we compare modernism and postmodernism, despite their antiidentity and contradiction, we can find common features, first of all, at the level of departing from realism and construction in the discourse of new art worlds, at the level of polymodality of styles and revolutionary proposed changes. It is believed that postmodernism has arose on the basis of modernism, passing the filter (or barrier) of deconstructivism and poststructuralism.

It is easy to follow how modernism was transformed into postmodernism and by what mechanisms. It is noticeable that futuristic, decadent, and later - impressionist and expressionist tendencies gave doubts in the eternity and steadfastness of aesthetic and moral categories of beauty, freedom, authorship, self-identity, etc. Thus, Losik (2016) notes: "Aesthetic and artistic "vulnerability" and "dandyism" of modernist authors and theorists have become for many postmodernists an exemplary example of the mature in the Enlightenment conflict around the comprehensible limits and potential opportunities for freedom" (Losik, 2016, pp. 99-104). The phenomenon of "schizophrenia" being widespread in postmodernism, became actualized - meaning the segmentation and fragmentation of worldview modalities, which, however, were already aesthetically observed in modernism, and now have spread to the life and everyday worldview. Excessive fragmentation began to destroy the relatively holistic worldview of the postmodern, and the seemingly "degenerative" factors were in fact the "salvation of thinking" from bourgeois-capitalist norms and avant-garde guidelines (Lyotard, 1986, p. 109).

The above mentions, in our opinion, should facilitate the search for postmodern features (quasi-postmodern) in the modernistic literature of the "Silver Age", avoiding logical extrapolation and focusing on the author's style and method.

Features of postmodernism in Russian poetry of the "Silver Age"

In Russian poetry of the "Silver Age" authors with a clear experimental tendency already have a way out of the decadence, when "all creative and cultural aspirations are ridiculous" (Pannwitz, 1917, p. 64) and attempts to find a new non-concept, which however managed to deconstruct only by postmodernists. There are also paradoxes at the level of form and semantic play, which can be considered as the forerunners of performance, total paradox and denial of past cultural reality. At the same time, both modernists and postmodernists observe the residual world of the symbolic universe, archetypes and myths, which are now not defining but background. However, modernists still considered symbol, myth, archetype, and concept too important to definitively devalue them (Hassan, 1975).

The first clear feature of the Russian "Silver Age" of poetry and the avant-garde in general is mythologism, which turns into parody, travesty, and the creation of game models (Osipova, 2001). Mythological images are being translated from high stylistic registers into everyday life, up to oxymoron and solipsism. The first clear feature of the Russian silver age of poetry and the avant-garde in general is mythologism, which turns into parody, travesty, and the creation of game models (Osipova, 2001). Mythological images are being translated from high stylistic registers into everyday life, up to oxymoron and solipsism. These features directly correspond to the postmodern signs of skepticism, parody, play, banter and, of course, total intertextuality, against which there is a deconstruction of myth and concept. Consider the Table 1, which shows the image-concept of the "Sun" in the works of "Silver Age" poets with the comments of the author of the article:

Author	Original citation	English citation	Comment
Akhmatova O.	Наше солнце, в	Our sun,	Litota, devaluation
(Russian poets	муке погасшее	extinguished in	of key symbols.
of the "Silver		agony	
Age", 1991, p.			
43)			
	Вчерашнее солнце	Yesterday's sun	Surrealism,
Mandelshtam O.	на черных	on a black	constructing a real
(Russian poets	носилках несут	stretcher is carried	situation using
of the "Silver			world symbols.
Age", 1991, p.			

Table 1. The image-concept of the SUN in a quasi-postmodern interpretation

241)	Три восковых свечи	Three wax	Litota, irony.
Tsvetaeva M.	– Солниу-то! –	candles – And	
(Russian poets	Светоносному	the sun! – To the	
of the "Silver		Lightbringer	
Age", 1991, p.			
388)			
	Я хочу вынести за	I want to put out	Deconstruction,
Khlebnikov V.	скобки общего	of brackets of the	combination of
(Russian poets	множителя,	common factor	incompatible
of the "Silver	соединяющего меня,	connecting me, the	heteromorphic
Age", 1991, p.	Солнце, небо,	Sun, the sky, the	images.
308)	жемчужную пыль.	pearl dust.	

A close feature of the poetic ontology is the carnival of individual poems by representatives of the "Silver Age". Carnival as an autonomous and key phenomenon of the postmodern era in modernism is manifested in individual affective poetry in the form of a masquerade as a way to return to the rulers, to the subconscious and the "dark side in general".

In this sense, a related view can be made: the postmodernist tendencies of total play and performance are closely correlated with the modernist traditions of the "Silver Age" poets, associated with a tendency to dramatize, to describe life as an action without a script (improvised action). Moreover, both life and death are considered a holiday and the greatest events of such an action. Osipova (2001) summarizes in this regard: "The perception of the theater is saturated with a tragic tone. Hence the famous Mandelshtam's thought "I will not see the famous "Phaedra". Thus, the aestheticization of theatrical spectacles, the combination within one semantic series of "theatrical light genre" and the darkness that wheezes, hairiness (all hairy - people and objects) as an expression of archaic chaos (Osipova, 2001). There is an interesting fact that the symbolism characteristic of modernists in such poetry is combined with mythological reminiscences, which are the forerunners of the total intertextuality of the second half of the 20th century. In addition, the poets of the "Silver Age" are the first, to postmodernists, to feel the game (masquerade) and cultural codes of mankind and questioned their absoluteness.

Thus, in the late lyrics of the poets of the "Silver Age" we observe the forerunners or even the signs of the coming postmodern era. If such narrative and aesthetic markers as "author's death", "world as text",

"ambivalence" are practically not observed self-orientation of culture, not extroverted, fantastic parody and irony - "I don't know if the Earth is spinning or not, It depends on whether the word fits in the line. I don't know if my grandparents were Monkeys, since I don't know Do I want sweet or sour" (Mandelshtam); Я не знаю, Земля кружится или нет, Это зависит, уложится ли в строчку слово. Я не знаю, были ли моими бабушкой и дедом Обезьяны, так как я не знаю, хочется ли мне сладкого или кислого (in the original language), (Russian poets of the "Silver Age", 1991, p. 243), as well as a combination of logic and absurdity within one discourse or even image - "But your groom ... Thunder and gun! You and him - think, sweetheart: Dandelion and frog, Butterfly and goblin. These gestures and smiles, These pants, these stripes ... All to the bottom, like paste, sticky - Small broker and bastard" (Chornyi S.); Но жених твой... Гром и пушка! Ты и он — подумай, душка: Одуванчик и лягушка, Мотылек и вурдалак. Эти жесты и улыбки, Эти брючки, эти штрипки... Весь до дна, как клейстер, липкий — Мелкий маклер и пошляк (in the original language), (Russian poets of the "Silver Age", 1991, р. 403). In the "Silver Age" lyricists the concept and motives of death are transformed and acquire unreal features, concept and motives of death, which manifests itself in the binary oppositions of joy - sorrow, culture chaos, birth - death, and so on. Death as a fact of complete reset of culture in postmodernists will acquire absolute (and at the same time not terrible) significance: the death of culture, the death of the author, the death of civilization.

Modernists of the "Silver Age" also felt and glorified the evolutionary and revolutionary potential of death, which reloads the burden of past cultures, epochs and their own experience: "Let them say: love is winged, — Death is a hundred times more winged. Another soul is embraced by struggle, And our lips fly to it" (Mandelshtam O.); Пусть говорят: любовь крылата, — Смерть окрыление стократ. Еще душа борьбой объята, А наши губы к ней летят (in the original language), (Russian poets of the "Silver Age", 1991, p. 248). Or the same author uses the motives of celebratory and solemnity of death: "At the theater and at a holiday dinner the Person dies; … Tell me, Venetian, How to get away from this festive death?" (Mandelshtam O.); Ha meampe u на праздном вече Умирает человек; …Скажи, венецианка, Как от этой смерти праздничной уйти? (in the original language), (Russian poets of the "Silver Age", 1991, p. 250).

If the modernists deprived the word of the "Aureole of sacredness", the postmodernists have gone further and have deprived sacredness not only of the text, but also of reality, culture and its values. As a result – the emergence of previously unexpected combinations of words, this can already be described by modernists as the beginning of destruction.

Postmodern	December, 2021
Openings	Volume 12, Issue 4

Such tendencies as an experiment, first of all, reached the complete deconstruction at the level of form. An example is the poem of Khlebnikov V. "Laugh Chant" ("Заклинание смехом"): Laugh away, laughing boys! Laugh along, laughmen! So, they laugh their large laughter, they laugh aloud laughishly. Laugh and be laughed at! O the laughs of the overlaughed, laughfest of laughingstocks! Laugh out uplaughingly the laugh of laughter laughterers! Laughingly laughterize laughteroids, laughtereens, laughpots and
laughlings
Laugh away, laugh boys!
Laugh along, laughmen! (Russian poets of the "Silver Age", 1991, p. 310)
(Russian poets of the Shver Age, 1991, p. 510)
О, рассмейтесь, смехачи!
О, засмейтесь, смехачи!
Что смеются смехами, что смеянствуют смеяльно,
О, засмейтесь усмеяльно!
О, рассмешищ надсмеяльных — смех усмейных смехачей!
О, иссмейся рассмеяльно, смех надсмейных смеячей!
Смейево, смейево!
Усмей, осмей, смешики, смешики!
Смеюнчики, смеюнчики.
О, рассмейтесь, смехачи!
О, засмейтесь, смехачи! (in the original language), (Russian poets of
the "Silver Age", 1991, p. 310)
The reference to the spell and its devaluation as a magical genre can
be observed along with a completely playful kind of presentation and design.
There are fragments of texts given below that, as a result of the types
of analysis mentioned in the introduction, have been qualified as containing
the beginnings of postmodernist features (presented with the relevant
literary and cultural comments, Table 2).
Table 2. Characteristic fragments of poems by the "Silver Age" authors, which testify to the quasi-postmodernist features

Author	Original text	English version	Comment
Maiakovskyi V.	Я волком бы	I would be a wolf	The use of lower
(Russian poets of	выгрыз	gnawing	range of stylistic

[I		1
the "Silver Age",	бюрократизм.	bureaucracy.	vocabulary along
1991, p. 260)	К мандатам	To mandates	with the business
	почтения нету.	There is no respect.	one. Irony,
	К любым	Any piece of paper	skepticism,
	чертям с	roll with mothers	devaluation of
	матерями	to any devils.	stereotypical
	Катись	But this	values.
	любая бумажка.		
	Но эту		
	-	My age, my beast,	
Mandelshtam O.	Век мой, зверь	who can	Creation of new
(Russian poets of	мой, кто сумеет	Look into your	irrational reality.
the "Silver Age",	Заглянуть в твои	pupils	
1991, p. 239)	зрачки	And he will glue	
* /	И своею кровью	with his blood	
	склеит	The vertebrae of	
	Двух столетий	two centuries?	
	позвонки?	I wanted to be her	
	Хотелось быть ее	сир	
	чашкой,	Her brother or	
	Братом ее или	aunt,	
	теткой,	Her enamel buckle	
	Ее эмалевой	And even her	
	пряжкой	toothbrush!	
	и И даже зубной ее		
	щеткой!		
	,	The squirrel said,	
Chornyi S.	Белка сказала,	grumbling:	Deconstruction,
(Russian poets of	ворча:	"Where are my	stringing words of
the "Silver Age",	«Где же мои орехи	nuts and acorns? –	different lexical
1991, p. 43)	и жёлуди? —	People ate!"	and semantic
· · r · ~/	Скушали люди!»		groups in one
	e.g.		sentence.
		Quietly,	
Khlebnikov V.	Тихо, прозрачно,	transparently, it	Game,
(Russian poets of	уж вечерело,	was already getting	performance,
the "Silver Age",	Лепетом тихим	dark,	joking, skepticism
1991, p. 402)	сосна целовалась	The pine was	joning, snepticisin
1771, p. 1 02)	corna gostobarato	The pine was	

Postmodern Tendencies in the Russian Poetry of the "Silver Age" Ihor CHORNYI, et al.

	С осиной. Может, назавтра их срубят на завтрак.	kissing aspen with a quiet babble. Maybe they'll be cut down for breaksfast tomorrow.	
Khlebnikov V. (Russian poets of the "Silver Age", 1991, p. 309)	Бобэоби пелись губы, Вээоми пелись взоры, Пиээо пелись брови, Лиэээй – пелся облик, Гзи-гзи-гзэо пелась цепь. Так на холсте каких-то соответствий Вне протяжения жило Лицо.	Bobaobi sang lips Veeomi sang the eyes, Pieeo sang eyebrows, Lieeei - sang the guise, Gzi-gzi-gzeo sang the chain. So on the canvas of some correspondence Beyond the stretch lived the Face.	Rejection of the versification canons of and language laws, solipsism, "schizophrenia"

As for intertextuality, in the early 20th century it was mostly "cautious" and somewhat artificial, but the poets already felt that the old texts would become sources and generators of future meanings. So, the poet Shuf V. wrote:

You open Pushkin, read with affection: "The flying ridge thins the clouds ..." And suddenly you look back with longing, with bewilderment, -Was that it? Was there ever? (Russian poets of the "Silver Age", 1991, p. 413)

Раскроешь Пушкина, читаешь с умиленьем: «Редеет облаков летучая гряда…» И вдруг оглянешься с тоской, с недоуменьем,

-Да было ль это? Было ли когда? (in the original language) (Russian poets of the "Silver Age", 1991, p. 310)

In this case can be observed a completely postmodern ambivalence (splitting): on the one hand, Shuf V. recalls "Pushkin's affection" as a standard of beauty of the golden age, on the other – he recognizes not only the historical nature of beauty, but also the onset of an era where it depreciates and borders on disgust and destruction:

Yes, it was! There she is - at the bottom of a rotten abyss The former beauty is buried, And on the surface - patterns of stinking mud Yes, bubbles that flew from the bottom. (Russian poets of the "Silver Age", 1991, p. 413)

Да, было! Вон она — на дне гнилой пучины Былая красота погребена, А на поверхности — узоры смрадной тины Да пузыри, взлетевшие со дна. (in the original language) (Russian poets of the "Silver Age", 1991, p. 413).

Conclusions

Thus, modernism is the first to deny the eternal European truths and the first to stop looking for answers to "eternal questions", and postmodernism continued this tradition, but in its own ways – by mass, nonselection, irony, game.

The article confirmed the hypothesis that Russian poets of the socalled "Silver Age" already have numerous (but not all) features of postmodernism in its initial ("fragmentary or cautious") or expanded form. To summarize the above conclusions there is a Table 3 which gives the revealed and undiscovered signs of postmodernism in the "Silver Age" Russian poetry.

Postmodernism features	The presence of the "Silver Age" in poetry	Comment
The cult of independent personality	Present	Totally present, the freedom of the author is
The attraction to the archaic, the myth	Present	manifested. Manifested in its original form, there is a crisis of

 Table 3. Signs of postmodernism and their presence / absence in the "Silver Age" poetry

Postmodern Openings

		means, values and meanings.
Vision of life as absurd and apocalyptic carnival	Present	Often present in the form of experiments, creative searches, desacralization of classical dogmas.
The use of game style	Present	Totally present, more than in postmodernism.
Presence of the narrator image	Present	Fragmentary present or implanted in the form of direct quotations, references to images and myths.
Echoes are observed not only on the plot- compositional, but also on the figurative, linguistic levels	Present	As an experiment with form and meaning.
Logic and absurdity are combined in one image	Present	Fairly clear connection with the external, "manifested" life.
Orientation of culture to itself, not to the real world	Present	The texts have their origins mainly in Antiquity, the Renaissance and the Golden Age.
The texts originate from the origins of historical epochs	Absent	Fairly clear connection with the external, "manifested" life.
Ephemerality and illusory, feigned action	Absent	Openness.
	Absent	Parody and irony retain
Metaphysical isolation	Absent	real features or are a
Nonselection	Absent	manifestation of creative
Fantastic parody and irony		experiment.

Postmodern Tendencies in the Russian Poetry of the "Silver Age" Ihor CHORNYI, et al.

	Absent	The third meaning is
Violation of the law of		possible.
sufficient justification and		
exclusion of the third		
meaning		
	Absent	Sufficient genre of
A mixture of many		"purity" remains.
traditional genres		

Acknowledgement

When writing this article, the main preparatory work on selection, search and propaedeutic analysis of literary and cultural material was made by Ihor Chornyi, while other co-authors performed partial tasks within the set goal. Thus, Mykola Lipisivitskyi was engaged in screening poetic texts for those fragments that contained markers of post-modernistic trends; Oleksandra Shtepenko, for this end, distinguished and provided a broad palette of language markers and literary signs of the post-modernistic period. For her part, Viktoriia Pertseva was engaged in contrasting and comparative types of literary analysis, Olena Horlova - on the basis of analytical data of the previous co-author outlined common and distinctive features, as well as the features of continuity of modern and postmodern. Viktoriia Chorna acted as chief editor and verifier of the final version of the article.

References

- Boletskyi, V. (2001). Lovy na postmodernistiv: 12 Polskykh esseistiv [Catching Postmodernists: 12 Polish essays]. *Critics*, 225-249.
- Etaryan, Y. (2020). The German View on Modernism and Postmodernism. *Wisdom*, 15(2), 211-219. <u>https://doi.org/10.24234/wisdom.v15i2.336</u>
- Griubel, R. (1976). Uz Problematiku Pojma Strukture u Knjizevnoznanstvenom Modeliranju [With the Problems of the Concept of Structure in Literary Scientific Modeling]. *Umjetnost riječi*, (1), 47-65. <u>https://www.ceeol.com/search/article-detail?id=22793</u>

Hassan, I. (1975). Paracriticism: Seven speculations of the times. Urbana.

Losik, O. (2016). Fenomen svobody i frantsuzskii postmodernism [The Phenomenon of Freedom and French Postmodernism]. Research and Publishing Center Shevchenko Scientific Society. <u>http://philosophyejournal.cdu.edu.ua/article/view/2042/2138</u>

Postmodern	December, 2021
Openings	Volume 12, Issue 4

Lyotard, J. (1986). The Postmodern Explained to Children. Correspondence 1982-1985. University of Minnesota Press. <u>https://pdf.wecabrio.com/the-postmodern-explained-correspondence-1982-1985.pdf</u>

Lyotard, J. (1998). La Condition Postmoderne [The Postmodern Condition]. Aleteia.

- Nerubasska, A., & Maksymchuk, B. (2020). The Demarkation of Creativity, Talent and Genius in Humans: a Systemic Aspect. *Postmodern Openings*, 11(2), 240-255. <u>https://doi.org/10.18662/po/11.2/172</u>
- Nerubasska, A., Palshkov, K., & Maksymchuk, B. (2020). A Systemic Philosophical Analysis of the Contemporary Society and the Human: New Potential. *Postmodern Openings*, *11*(4), 275-292. <u>https://doi.org/10.18662/po/11.4/235</u>
- Newman, M. (1989). Revising Modernism, Representing Postmodernism. In M. Newman (Ed.), *Postmodernism: Institute of Contemporary Arts Documents* (pp. 95-154). Free Association Books.
- Ortega y Gasset, J, Furlan, M., & Gonzalez Bezerra, M. (2013). Miseria y esplendor de la traducción [Misery and splendor of translation]. *Texturas*, (13), 7-24. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.5007/1980-4237.2013n13p5</u>
- Osipova, N. (2001). Mifopoeticheskii analiz poezii Serebrianoho veka [Mythopoetic Analysis of the Poetry of the Silver Age]. *The Science of Literature in the 20th century: (History, methodology, literary process), 1.* <u>https://cyberleninka.ru/article/n/mifopoeticheskiy-analiz-poezii-</u> <u>serebryanogo-veka/viewer</u>
- Pannwitz, R. (1917). The Crisis in European Culture. Nurnberg.
- Rajesh, S., & Suresh, D. (2018). Themes and Techniques from Modernism to Postmodernism: The Dubious Continuance of Gravity's Rainbow. International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 119(16), 2373-2384. https://www.acadpubl.eu/hub/2018-119-16/2/246.pdf
- Rorti, R. (2001). Postmodernistskyi burzhuaznyi liberalism [Postmodern bourgeois liberalism]. *Independent culturological magazine "Yi"*, (21), 58-72.
- Russkie poety "Serebrianoho veka" [Russian poets of the "Silver Age"] (1991). 2. Leningrad university publisher. <u>https://www.globusbooks.com/pages/books/3734/russkie-poety-</u> <u>serebrjanogo-vekasbornik-stihotvorenij-v-dvuh-tomah-tom-2</u>
- Sorcan, V. (2013). Art of Crisis: Modern or Postmodern? AM Journal of Art and Media Studies, (3), 46-49. <u>https://www.ceeol.com/search/articledetail?id=62369</u>
- Thomassen, L., Derrida, J., & Habermas, J. (2006). *The Derrida-Habermas Reader*. University of Chicago Press.
- Weber, M. (2009). From Max Weber: Essays in Sociology. Routledge.

- Williams, L., & Sewpaul, V. (2004). Modernism, Postmodernism and Global Standards Setting. *Social Work Education*, 23(5), 555-565. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/0261547042000252280</u>
- Wolin, R. (1984). Modernism vs. postmodernism. *Telos*, (62), 9-29. https://doi.org/10.3817/1284062009